



16 November 2007

Ms. Kimberly Bose, Secretary Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 1<sup>st</sup> Street N.E. Washington, D.C. 20426

Subject:

AES Sparrows Point LNG, LLC and

Mid-Atlantic Express, LLC

Docket Nos. CP07-62 and CP07-63

Dear Ms. Bose:

Enclosed for filing in the referenced proceedings are one complete paper copy along with an original and seven electronic copies of the Addendum to Resource Report 10, *Alternatives*, of the Environmental Report submitted by AES Sparrows Point LNG, LLC and Mid-Atlantic Express, LLC (collectively, "AES") in support of their respective applications before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC" or "Commission") at Docket Nos. CP07-62 and CP07-63. The Addendum to Resource Report 10, *Alternatives*, updates relevant information regarding the route variations considered for the Project, in response to the FERC Staff's letter dated October 22, 2007 in the captioned proceeding. In addition, because Appendix 10D contains landowner contact information, AES is seeking privileged treatment of that information in accordance with Section 388.112(a)(1) of the Commission's regulations.

As a result of the Staff's letter, AES re-initiated consultation with the State Highway Administration ("SHA") in order to address certain matters that had been raised regarding the compliance of the Pipeline Route proposed by Mid-Atlantic Express with the provisions of the Maryland Department of Transportation ("MDOT") SHA "Utility Policy" (issued July 1989; revised March 1998). As a result of this further consultation with the SHA, and other internal discussion, AES has developed for the Staff's consideration two proposed, additional minor route variations to its preferred Pipeline Route. Each of the two variations is identified and described in the attached Addendum, and includes an analysis of the comparative environmental impacts associated with such variations. Further, as more fully explained in the attached Addendum, these two new variations include one that will not require any exceptions from the Utility Policy. This therefore provides the Commission Staff with sufficient options to evaluate the environmental impacts of the overall Pipeline Route, with assurance that it can be assessed and approved by the Commission regardless of the final outcome of the Utility Policy exception process.

In addition, AES has reviewed access for both variations presented in the Addendum in an effort to avoid impact to I-695 traffic. Based on our review, all areas are accessible from local roads or other temporary access roads; therefore, AES does not require access to the rights-of-way associated with the Pipeline from I-695. Further, the construction spread has sufficient setback from I-695 to maintain worker safety and avoid potential impact to motorists. Accordingly, there is no need for AES to perform the traffic study referenced in the October 22 letter as there will be no closure of expressway shoulders at any time.

Please contact the undersigned if you have any questions regarding the enclosed Addendum. You assistance in this matter is appreciated. A copy of the attachments to this letter will be provided to any party immediately upon request and in accordance with Commission rules.

Sincerely yours,

AES Sparrows Point LNG, LLC

Mid-Atlantic Express, LLC

Christopher H. Diez

cc: J.J. Mark Robinson

Medha Kochhar

All Parties to Docket Nos. CP07-62-000 and CP07-63-000 (without attachments)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> During the course of the recent consultation with SHA, AES was informed that open excavations and equipment placement within 30 feet of the roadway were prohibited unless a positive barrier were used. AES will abide by these restrictions.